David Villiams, Associated Editor People [sic] and the Pursuit [sic] of Truth [sic] 815 Washington St., Newtonville, Mass. 02160

Dear "it was just a gig ... Maybe make a little money,"

On reading your blessedly short contribution to that it in which "FERSULT" rather than "Teath" is capitalized, appropriately, I add, I am taken with what gives me limited choices: facing the state of my own ignorance of confirmation that you and yours are, religious training of not, bullabilt as well as ripoff artists."

Not that more confirmation was needed.

Headed "New Developments" in the Mugast, 1975 issue there is, "Br, Syril Weakt, cornter of Allegheny County, Pa., and a re/movined forenaic pathologist, and Researcher Harold Veisberg have filed many, many suits through the Freedom of Information Act in an attempt to get at this information. Their persistency has begun to yield some results. In recent weeks some of the autopsy test results have been turned over to Veisberg, Br. Weakt and others..."

Where there is this confessed ignorance or the alternative has to do with Wecht's having filed a gingle suit. Fray tell me when and where. I am source that he talks and in general of what he says but that he had spent a cent or taken a moment to file a suit I did not know. (Talk, as you know, can pay. Filing suits deem't.)

Even that more than five years ago he had agreed to be an expert witness for me in one of mine I well remember, as I do his backing out, on the ground that he was making too much known to afford the time.

Of course I also know that as soon as I file one of these "many, many" suits anyone, "refinemed forencic pathologist" or others, need only invest a disc and write a letter and obtain what results from these suits. The exception if that of which I do not know. Ferhaps you can enlighten me? The "their" part. On "persistence."

"They [sic] have so far withheld comment on what the tests [sic] reveal [sic] until [sic] a complete [sic] disclosure [sic] had been made by the FMI and"

Because you have been generous enoughly to include so among the "they" who filled my out I find that I must have had my just looked when all the time I thought I was talking and to many sudiences, from students to the press (all forms).

But when I am confronted with "truth" in your publication's name do "not have to ask myself if I immgined it when I thought I saw microphones, thought I heard my own voice later on radios, thought I spoke to reporters and later saw their articless in print?

Can it be that I am semenow blighted by not having had the benefits of a religious education andul I can see that means in others? (Not that I should not confess a liking of Noclesiastes, as in "emity eh vanity"; or the Song of Solomon, as in the keeping of vinyards and their non-keepings or less and those stence crying out.)

Don't take time from your gig to tell me more about that Would be giving amy in Washington, the one in which he amounced in advance that he would be giving amy copies of what I had gotten by my suit. (Do you suppose my presence discouraged him?) But in your personal "FUNDIE of Truth" I'd appreciate it if you'd spars a few minutes from the "Maybe make a little money" to enlighten me about the suits filed by Wecht and those unnessed "others" giout "their persistence" and the "results " it "has begun to yield.

If I'm not happy about all the "pursuit" I can be yours for "people" and "truth."

Harold Weisberg